483 YEARS TO DEATH OF MESSIAH DAN 9:24-27

BABYLONIANS & ELAMITES TO

ESAR-HADDON TRANSPORTED

SAMARIA

EPHRAIM BROKEN

SAME MOTHER. 2 KG 23:31, 24:18. NOTE: ZEDEKIAH & JEHOAHAZ HAD THE

ESAR-HADDON

PTOLEMY GIVES ESAR-HADDON 13 YRS BUT 3 TIMES THE "BABYLONIAN CHRONICLES"

(MATTANIAH)

JER 37:1, 52:11.

ZEDEKIAH

NEBUCHADNEZZAR MADE HIM KING - REIGNED 11 YRS. REBELLED - WAS BLINDED - DIED IN PRISON IN BABYLON

929 BC

38th YR 1 KG 16:29

ASSUR-DAN II

27

1 KG 16:15-20

7 DAYS

1 KG 16:8

ZIMRI

ELAH

975 BC 970 BC 960 BC 950 BC 940 BC 930 BC Chart 5 Kings of the Divided Monarchy

18th YR 1 KG 15:1, 2 CH 13:1
958 BC

TIGLATH-PILESER II

ASSUR-DAN II

960 BC

IN THE SPRING ABOUT

JEWISH YEAR BEGINS

NOTE:

APRIL 1

OF JUDAH

THE KINGDOM

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15

971 BC SHISHAK KING OF EGYPT TAKES TREASURES

2 CH 12:2-9

FROM SOLOMONS TEMPLE

1 KG 12:16-24 cp 11:31, EZRA 1:5 RULES OVER ALL JUDAH & BENJAMIN

975 BC

REHOBOAM

1 2

975 BC

975 BC

AC

1 KG 14:21,31

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

17 YRS 2 CH 12:13

12 13 14 15

3029 AM

975 BC

OF ISRAEL

THE KINGDOM JEROBOAM I
1 2

975 BC

3 4 5 6 7

1 KG 11:26 - 40, 12:20

20th YR 1 KG 15:9-10

8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15

975 BC

970 BC

22 YRS 1 KG 14:20

3rd YR 1 KG 15:33

16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31

ASA

1 KG 15:2

16 17 1 2 3AC

3 YRS

ABIJAH

1 2 3

956 BC

10

1 KG 15:10

4 5 6 7 8 9 11

32 33 34 35 36

BAASHA WAITING 21 YRS TO BLOCK THE

OF JUDAH) WHICH WAS FROM THE 6th TO THE

ASA'S KINGDOM - (HIS DYNASTY i.e. THE KINGDOM

NO WAR FOR 10 YEARS FROM 26th - 35th YEAR OF

TO JUDAH (2 CH 15:9, cp 16:1), AND

(1 KG 15:33)

THE PROBLEM OF BAASHA'S INVADING

1. SOUTHERN EXODUS OF HIS CITIZENS

15th YEAR OF ASA'S OWN REIGN

2.

THIS ELIMINATES THE ANAMOLY OF: 2 CH 14:1, 15:10, 19 AND 16:1.

JUDAH 9 YRS AFTER HIS DEATH !

939 BC
941

12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19

ASA 41 YRS

20 21 22 23 24 25 26

1 KG 15:25 NADAB

2nd YR 1 KG 15:25

16 17 18 19 20

1 1

21 22 2

2 YRS

2 3 4 5 6 7 BAASHA

8 9

953 BC

ADAD-NIRARI II

3050 AM

954 BC

950 BC

27th YR 1 KG 16:5-18

26th YR 1 KG 16:8-10

10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17

1 KG 15:27-30

31st YR 1 KG 16:23

1

1

2 YRS

18 19 20 21 22 23 24 2

24 YRS 1 KG 15:33

940 BC

930 BC

930 BC

24

(ADAD-NIRARI III)

920 BC 910 BC 900 BC 890 BC 880 BC 870 BC 860 BC 850 BC 840 BC 830 BC 820 BC 810 BC

23rd YR 2 KG 13:1

SHEMSHI-RAMMAN IV

c.897 BC

2 CH 20:30-37

1 KG 22:51

2 YRS AHAZIAH

SHALMANESER II (III)

2nd YR 2 KG 1:17
2

914 BC

JEHOSAPHAT

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 918 BC
1 KG 17:5

? ?

35

2 CH 16:13

28 29 30 31 32 33 34

39th YR

ASA SICK

2 CH 16:12

TILL DEATH

36 37 38 39 40 41 1 AC

17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32

1 KG 19:19-21

ELISHA BECOMES
ELIJAH'S SERVANT

ELIJAH

c.910 BC

NOTE :

1 KG 22:42

10

DYNASTY OF OMRI

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 11 12 13 14 15

25 YRS

16 17

1

1 KG 16:29-22:53

6

1 KG 16:21-22

9 OMRI & TIBNI

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 OMRI ONLY

925 BC

4th YR 1 KG 22:41

10 11

1

12 2 3 4 5 AHAB

1 KG 16:23

ASSUR-NASIR-PAL II

920 BC

929 BC OMRI 12 YRS

918 BC
22 YRS

18th YR 2 KG 3:1

7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14

17th YR 1 KG 22:51

CO-REX

15 16 17 18 19

1

20 21

3100 AM

ADAD-NIRARI II

1 KG 16:29

910 BC

1

904 BC ?

897 BC

6

900 BC

11th YR 2 KG 9:29

5th YR 2 KG 8:16

12th YR 2 KG 8:25

2 CH 22:12
2 KG 11:3

33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42

c.889 BC

TRANSLATED, ELISHA RECEIVES
ELIJAH'S MANTLE 2 KG 2:12-25

YEAR ELIJAH

2 CH 21:12
cp 1 KG 19:16

LETTER TO JEHORAM

ELIJAH'S PROPHETIC 886 BC

889 BC

18 19

PRO-REX

2 3 CO-REX

1 2 3

20 21 22 23 24

JEHORAM 893 BC

4

25

887 BC

2 KG 8:17

2 CH 21:17; 25:23

2 KG 8:26; 14:13

1 YR

1

1 1 SOLE-REX

5 6 7 8 2 3

SOLE-REX

4 ATHALIAH

886 BC

7 YRS

(JEHOAHAZ)

AHAZIAH

JEHORAM

5 (JEHOASH)

6 1 2 3 4 5 6

879 BC

JOASH

7 7

2 KG 11:1-21; 12:1

8 9 10 11 12 13 14

880 BC

BROTHERS 2 KG 1:17 cp 3:1

1 (JEHORAM)

2

6 7

SOLE-REX 2 KG 8:1-16

AHAZIAH & JORAM ARE

22 2 3 4 5 JORAM

8

PRO-REX

7th YR 2 KG 12:1

AC

9 10 11 12 1 2 3 4 JEHU

890 BC

897 BC

SEE NOTE BELOW 885 BC

18

2 KG 9; 2 KG 10

5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

ASSUR-NASIR-PAL II

28 YRS 2 KG 10:35-36

35

869 BC ?

870 BC

(JOASH)

2 KG 14:1-2

2nd YR 2 KG 14:1

2 CH 23; 24:1-2

THE 46 "SILENT YEARS" OF ELISHA ELISHA

15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

40 YRS

cp 2 CH 24:14

TEMPLE NOT YET REPAIRED

FOR SOME TIME AFTER. HIGH PRIEST, STILL ALIVE 2 KG 12:6-9. JEHOIADA, THE

23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

2 KG 13:14-21

c.838 BC

ZECHARIAH IS STONED

2 CH 24:20-23 & MT 23:35

JEHOIADA'S SON

JEHOIADA DIES

2 CH 24:15 AGE 130

31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 AMAZIAH

39 40 1 2 3 4

839 BC

AC

5 6

RAMMAN-NIRARI III

17 YRS

SHALMANESER II (III)

37th YR 2 KG 13:10

21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 JEHOAHAZ

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

857 BC

(SHAMSI-ADAD V)

1

860 BC

3150 AM

856 BC ?

6

850 BC

PRO-REX

9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

1 2

17 1 2 3 4 5

3 JEHOASH
6 7

2 KG 13:1

(ADAD-NIRARI III)

837 BC 840 BC

18

840 BC

27th YR OF JEROBOAM 2 KG 15:1

2 CH 25:1

22

JONAH

2 KG 14:23-27

c.825 BC c.820 BC ? JOEL

14

29 YRS

7 8 9 10 11 12 13 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 1

810 BC

23 AC

24 25 26 27 28 29 UZZIAH

2 3

2 CH 26:15b VASSAL ?

4 5 6 7 8 (AZARIAH)

15 YEARS 2 KG 14:17; 2 CH 25:25

SHEMSHI-RAMMAN IV

15 8

15th YR 2 KG 14:23

14

2 KG 15:1-2 OR PRO-REX DUE SYRIA. TO JOASH'S GOING TO WAR WITH

EITHER JEROBOAM (II) BORN HERE

8 9 10 11 12 13

1

16 2 3 4 5 6 7 JEROBOAM II

825 BC
16 YRS 2 KG 13:10

828 BC ?

830 BC

35

820 BC

9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

810 BC

(SHAMSI-ADAD V) RAMMAN-NIRARI III

41 YRS

3200 AM

800 BC 790 BC 780 BC 770 BC 760 BC 750 BC 740 BC 730 BC 720 BC 710 BC 700 BC 690 BC 680 BC

TIGLATH-PILESER (III)

OF ISRAEL ! CALLED THE KING

AHAZ IS ALSO

KINGDOM IS IN ITS DEATH THROWS - NO KING - NO KINGDOM.

1 MO

2 KG 15:13 SHALLUM

ASHUR-DAN III (III)

JUNE 15, 763 JULIAN CALENDAR

SOLAR ECLIPSE IN EPONYM OF BUR-SAGALE, JUNE 7, 763 BC GREGORIAN

AMOS 8:9 ?

770 BC

785 BC

52 YRS

c.788 BC AMOS

SOVEREIGN ?

2 KG 15:2

9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

AMOS 1:1,7:11 ZECH 14:5 THE GREAT EARTHQUAKE

17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

JOSEPHUS SAYS THIS IS ALSO THE YEAR UZZIAH

BECAME A LEPER (ANTIQUITIES IX, X, 4). NO - FOR JOTHAM IS NOT YET BORN. (2 KG 15:32-33).

c.786 BC

25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 38 39 40

2 CH 26:1-4; 23

33 34 35 36 37

(TRADITIONAL SECULAR POSITIONING)

(NOTE JONAH 3:6-10)

25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39

2 KG 14:23-29; AMOS 7:11

800 BC 790 BC

YEARS OF ZACHARIAH'S REIGN IS NOT MENTIONED IN SCRIPTURE. 6th MONTH OF UZZIAH'S 38th YR (2 KG 15:8). NOTE: THE TOTAL

ZACHARIAH REIGNS (2 KG 14:29). HIS RULE TERMINATED IN THE

50th YR 2 KG 15:23

THIS PERIOD IS AN c.11 YR INTERREGNUM (cp 2 KG 14:23 &

2 KG 15:1-2, 8) DUE TO AN ANARCHY RESULTING FROM A ZACHARIAH ONLY REIGNED 6 MOS WHICH WAS DURING UZZIAH'S

POWER STRUGGLE UPON THE DEATH OF JEROBOAM II AND

39th YR 2 KG 15:2,17

38th YR 2 KG 15:8-18

ZACHARIAH ?

40 41 1 2 3 4 5

38th YR.

6

52nd YR 2 KG 15:27

AC

7 8 9 10 11 12 1

1. INTERREGNA, THOUGH RARE, ARE OFTEN SIGNS THAT A

2. cp 1 KG 22:47 & 2 KG 3:9 - NO KING IN EDOM.

SHALMANESER III (IV)

780 BC

NOTE:

784 BC

783 BC

773 BC

773 BC 772 BC

776 BC

FIRST OLYMPIAD

2nd YR 2 KG 15:32

754 BC

ISAIAH

JOTHAM

2 CH 26:22

c.759 BC

41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48

?

JOTHAM PRO-REX

"OVER THE HOUSE GOVERNING THE PEOPLE" 2 KG 15:5 cp. 2 CH 26:23
(AMOS 8:9) PRO-REX

49

2 KG 15:33

50 51 52 1 2 3 4

758 BC

AC

WILL BE BROKEN & NO
LONGER A PEOPLE.

MICAH

HOSEA

ISA 7:8 WITHIN 65 YRS
EPHRAIM - ISRAEL

2 CH 26:21-23

7

16 YRS

5 6 8 9 10 11 12 AC

742 BC

13 14 15 16

2 KG 16:2

1 2 3 4

* NOTE:

AHAZ

742 BC

(YEARS OF ROME)

754 BC

3250 AM

BEGINS

753 BC

UZZIAH A LEPER

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 MENAHEM

2 KG 15:23 PEKAHIAH

10

2 YRS

1 2 1 2 3 4 5 PEKAH

761 BC

"PUL" - 2 KG 15:19 1 CH 5:26

10 YRS 2 KG 15:17 759 BC

YOR

760 BC

20th YR 2 KG 15:30
17th YR 2 KG 16:1

6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

745 BC

ASHUR-NIRARI (V)

1 YOR

20 YRS

750 BC

10 YOR

2 KG 15:27 739 BC

740 BC

JUBILEE (TISHRI 709 - TISHRI 708) 2 KG 19:29 ISA 37:30 ISA 37:17

SARGON, BESEIGES JERUSALEM ISA 36 & 37, SENNACHERIB, CO-REX WITH HIS FATHER
cp ISA 20:1, 2 KG 19:29

20 HEZEKIAH

2 CH 28:1

2 CH 28:19, 26-27

5 6 7 8 9

16 YRS

10 11 12

726 BC

AC

13 14 15 16 1 2 3 4

720 BC

65 YRS

SPIES. 15 YEARS ADDED TO HIS LIFE. HEZEKIAH'S SICKNESS - BABYLON SENDS

12

SUN DIAL GOES BACK 10 DEGREES. 2 KG 20:1-19

SENNACHERIB'S FIRST
INVASION AS TARTAN

(COMMANDER OF THE

5 6

2 KG 18:2

2 KG 18:13

7 8 9 10 11

ARMY)

708 BC

13 14 15 16 17 18 19

29 YRS

713 BC 709 BC

6th YR 2 KG 18:10

2 KG 18:10

2 KG 17:3-6, 18:9-11

2 KG 18:10

3 YRS

722 BC

AC

12th YR 2 KG 17:1-4

EVEN

& 2 KG 17:1. SUSPENSION OF BY COMPARING 2 KG 15:27, 30 MONARCHY DUE TO ASSYRIANS

c.9 YR INTERREGNUM DETERMINED

DAMASCUS OF SYRIA FALLS
2 KG 16:9

2 KG 15:29, 1 CH 5:26

4th YR 2 KG 18:9

3rd YR 2 KG 18:1

1 2 3 4 5 6 HOSHEA

7

2 KG 15:29, 16:7 1 CH 5:26 2 CH 28:20

730 BC

730 BC

"JAREB" HOS 5:13, 10:6 SHALMANESER

HOS 10:14

9 YRS

727 BC

MANASSEH, ZEBULUN, DOR & THE WAY REUBEN, GAD, THE EASTERN 1/2 OF

2 KG 17:3-23, 18:10-13. COMPARE HOS 10:3, 7 & 15 SHALMANESER OF ASSYRIA BESEIGES

2 KG 15:29, 1 CH 5:26, ISA 9:1

INTO ASSYRIAN CAPTIVITY IN PEKAH'S

PRECEEDED THE OTHER TRIBES & NORTHERN DAN & NAPHTALI OF THE SEA (THE PLAIN OF SHARON)

SARGON COMPLETES THE DESTRUCTION

REIGN (BY TIGLATH PILESER)

9

SAMARIA THREE YEARS

8
2 KG 18:10 ... "THEY"

721 BC

SARGON (II)

33 YOR

3283 AM

ISA 20:1

720 BC 710 BC

697 BC

697 BC

21 28

2 CH 29:1

22 23 24 25 26 27

697 BC

AC

29 1 2 3 4 5 6 MANASSEH

7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14

2 KG 21:1-26 677 BC

15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

2 KG 18:13, 19:16, 20, 36, 37 2 CH 32:1-2, 9-10, 22 ISA 36:1, 37:17, 21, 37-38

690 BC

1st BORN DIED YOUNG

PROBABLY

JOHANAN

705 BC

SENNACHERIB

3300 AM

50 YOR

700 BC

(2)

(3)

(CONIAH, JECHOICHIN)

JEHOIAKIM

(ELIAKIM)

JECONIAH

36

18

RECORDS 12.

JOSIAH

681 BC

680 BC 420 BC

"THE CAPTIVITY" - FROM JECONIAH'S (JEHOIACHIN) CAPTIVITY - EZEKIEL IS CARRIED AWAY HERE (40:1)

IN 559 BC CYRUS THE GREAT BECAME KING OF ANSHAN, A PORTION OF PERSIA WITH SUSA AS
ITS CAPITAL. ABOUT 550, HIS MATERNAL GRANDFATHER ASTYAGES, KING OF MEDIA, MARCHED
AGAINST CYRUS. ASTYAGES WAS DELIVERED BY HIS OWN ARMY OVER TO CYRUS THUS FORGING

IN ONE DAY THE EMPIRE OF THE MEDES AND PERSIANS. ABOUT 546 HE CONQUERED LYDIA, MAKING A PRISONER OF CROESUS, ITS KING OF FABLED WEALTH. AT THE END OF THE YEAR 539, HE CONQUERED BABYLON, CAPITAL OF THE BABYLONIAN EMPIRE. BELSHAZZAR, PRO-REGENT FOR HIS FATHER NABONIDUS, WAS SLAIN AND CYRUS' UNCLE DARIUS THE MEDE (CYARAXES II, XENOP.
CYROP. I, 5, 2 - THE SON OF AHASUERUS=ASTYAGES) WAS "MADE KING" OVER BABYLON (DAN.9:1) BY CYRUS WHO CONTINUED AT THE HEAD OF THE ARMY, ANNEXING THE REMAINDER OF THE EMPIRE. IN 536, CYRUS RETURNED AS SOLE REX OVER THE EXPANDED EMPIRE AND AS SUZERAIN OVER

THE JEWS. 536 BC IS THUS THE DATE INTENDED BY SCRIPTURE AS "THE FIRST YEAR OF CYRUS".

NABONIDUS

BELSHAZZAR

THE MINOR DEPORTATION IN NEBUCHADNEZZAR'S 7TH WOULD HAVE BEEN JEWS PICKED UP BY THE BANDS OF CHALDEANS, SYRIANS ETC. WHOM THAT KING SENT AGAINST JUDAH PRIOR TO HIS COMING (2 KG 24:2). THAT IN THE 18TH WOULD CORRESPOND TO PRISONERS IN THE CAMP WHEN THE CHALDEANS BROKE OFF THE SIEGE OF JERUSALEM TO MEET THE APPROACHING EGYPTIAN ARMY. THE 23RD YR: WHEN NEBUZARADAN WAS SENT AGAINST THE MOABITES, AMMONITES ETC. DURING THE SIEGE OF TYRE (JOS. ANTIQ.,

X, 9, 7), & THE REMAINING 745 JEWS WERE GLEANED FROM THE LAND & CARRIED AWAY.

DANIEL
ZEPHANIAH

670 BC 660 BC 650 BC 640 BC 630 BC 620 BC 610 BC 600 BC 590 BC 580 BC

585 BC OBADIAH 570 BC 560 BC 550 BC

1. JECONIAH - "A SON OF 8 YRS" UNDER NEBUCHADNEZZAR'S REIGN, 2 CH 36:9. 2. JEHOIAKIM ANNOINTED JECONIAH AT AGE 8 TO SECURE THE THRONE THROUGH

EZEKIEL BEGINS TO PROPHESY 4th MO 5th DAY 5th YR

JOSIAHS PASSOVER IN THE 18th YR OF HIS REIGN TILL 30 YRS EZK 1:1-2, FROM FINDING THE LAW &

612 BC ?

JER 29:10, DAN 1:1 SERVITUDE FOR BABYLON. 606 BC, THIS BEGINS THE 70 YR DANIEL CARRIED TO BABYLON.

29

14

25th ANNIVERSARY TO THE VERY DAY OF THE DEPORTATION cp 2 CH 36:10

GIVEN 1 YR TO THE DAY BEFORE NEBUCHADNEZZAR BEGAN THE SEIGE OF JER 52:4. THE CAPTIVITY BEGINS 1 YR BEFORE ZEDEKIAH'S DATES ... THE REASON BEING THAT

EZK 1:1-2, 40:1 & 33:21 WITH 32:1 FOR THE 12th YR WHICH CANNOT BE ZEDEKIAH'S. ALL DATES IN EZEKIEL ARE FROM THE CAPTIVITY - NOT FROM ZEDEKIAH'S REIGN cp.
THEREFORE THE PARABLE OF THE BOILING CAULDRON OF EZK 24 IS PROPHETIC AND IS

EZK 33:21. THE BABYLONIAN CHRONICLE SAYS THE SEIGE LASTED FROM DEC 18 TO MAR 16

EZK 40:1 DECLARES THAT "THE CAPTIVITY" BEGAN 10 NISAN SO THAT EZK 40:1 IS THE

EZEKIEL WAS ALSO CARRIED AWAY AT THAT TIME (EZK 40:1). AND THAT GOD'S PROPHET
STILL CONSIDERED JECONIAH KING, NOT ZEDEKIAH (SEE EZK 12:10, 12, 21:25).

642 BC

(650-556=95 YRS NUMBERED INCLUSIVELY). SHE RELATES

FURTHER RECORDS THAT FROM HER BIRTH INTO THE FOURTH OF ASHURBANIPAL, KING OF ASSYRIA (650 BC). SHE

FOUND IN HARAN THAT SHE WAS BORN IN THE 20TH YEAR

RELATES ON A TOMB INSCRIPTION TAKEN FROM TWO STELE ADAD-GUPPI, THE MOTHER OF NABONIDUS KING OF BABYLON,

THAT THE CITY OF HARAN FELL IN THE 16TH YEAR OF NABOPOLASSAR AND GIVES DATA ASSOCIATING HIS 1ST YEAR WITH ASHUR-ETIL-ILANI'S 3RD. SHE LIVED 104 YEARS AND

YEAR OF NERIGLISSAR WAS A SPAN OF 95 YEARS

DIED IN THE 9TH YR OF NABONIDUS.

EZRA 4:2-10

2 CH 33:11-13

c.663 BC

23 24 25 26 27 28 29

55 YRS

30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38

* * *

* * NOTE:

NOTE:

2 CH 33:1-25

39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54

"ASNAPPAR"? EZR 4:10 SEE ANSTEY p. 219

3rd IN AGE YET 1st TO SIT ON THE VASSAL, CARRIED TO EGYPT BY NECO. 2 KG 23:30 cp JER 22:11. REIGNED 3 MOS. A CONQUERED

THRONE. LISTED 4TH IN 1 CH 3:15 KING WHEN NECO KILLED JOSIAH. THE PEOPLE OF JUDAH MADE HIM

(4) 21 JEHOAHAZ 34

= RELATIVE AGES

(1)

(SHALLUM)

ASHUR-BANIPAL

669 BC

2 KG 19:37 EZR 4:2

670 BC

= ORDER OF REIGNING

660 BC 650 BC

100 YOR

3350 AM

20

OF JEHOIACHINS CAPTIVITY

OF JEREMIAH'S PROPHESIES TO REPENT IN THE 13th YR OF THE 40 YR PROPHESY SPANS FROM THE COMMENCEMENT
JOSIAH UNTIL THE FINAL SIEGE BEGAN 10th DAY, 10th MO,

628 BC

628 BC

REFORM - IDOLS

628 BC

NAHUM

.

GOD

JOSIAH SEEKS

2 CH 34:21

2 KG 21:19

AC

2

2 YRS

AMON

AC

55 1 1 2 3 4 5 JOSIAH

640 BC

2 CH 34:3

6 7 8 9

2 KG 22:1

2 CH 34:3 DESTROYED

JOSIAHS 1st

10 11 12 13

MT 1:11 CP 2 CH 36:10

3. JOSIAH ANOINTED HIM WHEN HE LEFT TO FACE NECO.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

c.615 BC ?

EZK 4:6-7, JER 25:3

9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

HIS LINE AND TO PREVENT ZEDEKIAH FROM THE THRONE, OR HE BEGAN TO REIGN AT 18, 2 KG 24:8-12, OR

2 KG 22-23; 2 CH 34-35

2 CH 34:8-15, 35:1-19

2 KG 22:3-8, 23:22-23,

PASSOVER AFTER FINDING

2nd REFORM & GREAT

THE LAW

14 15 16 17 18

623 BC

19 20 21

31 YRS

CREDIT FOR 32 YRS. 2 CH 34:1

JUST BEFORE NOTE: JOSIAH IS KILLED

HE WOULD RECEIVE NISAN 1st, OR ELSE

22 23 24 25 26 27 28

627 BC ?

640 BC 630 BC

15 APRIL, 621 BC-GREGORIAN OF NABOPOLASSAR'S REIGN

LUNAR ECLIPSE 5th YR

OF NEO-BABYLONIAN EMPIRE - 21 YRS NABOPOLASSAR

1 2 3

625 BC

AC

4 5 6

"FROM" THE 13th YR

JEREMIAH BEGINS TO PROPHESY 23 YRS JER 25:1-3

7 8 9 10 11 12

- KING & FOUNDER

13

SIN-SHUR-ISHKUN

622 BC ?

620 BC

DANIEL'S REMOVAL BEGINS THE (DAN 1:1) 70 YRS OF "SERVITUDE" FOR BABYLON - ENDS WITH CYRUS' DECREE cp NEH 7:6 - 13:6 (NOTE: PERSIAN KINGS TOOK TITLE "KING OF BABYLON") JER 29:10

10

JER 25:1 SAYS THE 4TH YR OF JEHOIAKIM WAS THE 1ST YR OF NEBUCHADNEZZAR. THE HEBREW WORD FOR "FIRST" HERE DOES NOT OCCUR ELSEWHERE IN SCRIPTURE & CAN MEAN EITHER "FIRST" OR "BEGINNING". THUS THE PHRASE IN JER 25:1 IS SEEN AS NOT REFERRING TO NEBUCHADNEZZAR'S OFFICIAL 1ST YR BUT TO HIS INITIAL YEAR ON THE THRONE - THE YR OF HIS ACCESSION. TAKING THIS AS THE INTENDED MEANING, JER 25:1 WILL AGREE WITH JER 46:2. THE 4TH YR OF JEHOIAKIM, NEBUCHADNEZZAR'S ACCESSION, & THE BATTLE OF CARCHEMISH ALL TRANSPIRED IN THE SAME YR - BC 605 [SEE HAYIM TADMOR, JOURNAL OF NEAR EASTERN STUDIES, 15 (1956), P. 227].

ZEDEKIAH JER 52:4, 39:1 & 2 KG 25:1-2

FINAL SIEGE BEGAN 10th MO 10th DAY 9th YR OF

EZK 40:1

WORD OF JERUSALEMS FALL IN 12th AN ESCAPED CAPTIVE BRINGS EZEKIEL

5th MO 7th DAY TO 10th DAY

14th YR OF "THE DESOLATIONS" VISION

FOR 3 DAYS IN 19th YR OF NEBUCHAD. CITY & WALLS & TEMPLE BURNED

EZK 33:21 SO HE REACHES EZEKIEL ABOUT 5 MOS

THIS IS THE 11th YR OF ZEDEKIAH

YR 10th MO 5th DAY OF THE CAPTIVITY.

580 BC

CITY IS BROKEN UP 390 DAYS AFTER SEIGE 4th MO 9th DAY 11th YR OF ZEDEKIAH
IS RE-INITIATED. 2 KG 25:2-4; EZK 4:4-8

8th YR 2 KG 24:8-16

2 KG 24:1 & 7

3 YRS & REBELLED

JEHOIAKIM SERVED

SIEGE BEGAN 1 YR TO THE DAY FROM THIS PROPHESY AND LASTED c.18 MOS i.e.: FROM

THE EPOCH OF THE BOILING CALDRON EZK 24:1-14 BEGINS THE 70 YRS OF GODS "INDIGNATION". THIS BEGAN WHEN ZEDEKIAH REBELLED. THE FINAL 10-10-9th YR OF ZEDEKIAH JER 52:4, 39:1 AND 2 KG 25:1

2

1 TEBETH TO NISAN 10

1

AC 8 12

c.610 BC

c.607 BC

9 10 11

1 2

17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

HABAKKUK

606 BC

606 BC

18

3 4 5

25 26 27

597 BC1

6 7 8 9 10

28 29 30 31 32

13 14 15 16 17

JEREMIAH

2

5 JEHOIAKIM

11 YRS

1

DAN 1:1

AC

2 KG 23:31-34

2 KG 23:36

30 31 1 2 3 4

3 MOS

(SHALLUM)

JEHOAHAZ

609 BC 609 BC

3 4 5 6 7 8

2 CH 36:5

EZK 40:1 * * *

3 MOS 10 DAYS

6 7 8 9 10 11 AC

JECONIAH

(ELIAKIM)

601 BC 598 BC

(JEHOIACHIN)

DEPARTS THE

10

588 BC

TEMPLE. EZK 8:1 etc.

3

11

33

1 2

589 BC

4 5 6 7 8 9

12 13 14 15 16 17 18

34 35 36 37 38 39 40

593 BC

21

3 4

11 12

19 20

5 6 7 8 9

13 14 15 16 17

22 23 24 25 26

EZEKIEL

JER 52:1

2 CH 36:11

(MATTANIAH)

LIFTED TO ENGAGE SIEGE TEMPORARILY

JER 34:8-17, 21-22, 52:3-6, 37:3-9, 44:30

THE GLORY CAPTIVITY - 6-5-6 OF THE

(THE CAPTIVITY) **

2 CH 36:10 AFTER NISAN 1st CAME TO THE THRONE ASSESSION AS HE ZEDEKIAHS YR OF

2

592 BC

2 KG 24:17-20

3 4 5 6 7 8 ZEDEKIAH

11 YRS

588 BC

EGYPTIAN ARMY

32:1-2

1

586 BC
2 3 4 5 6

586 BC

9 10 11

YEAR

390th

THE

AFTER THE BURNING.

2 KG 25:8 cp JER 52:12

ATTACKS PHARAOH NECO AT CARCHEMISH AS GENERAL & CROWN

PRINCE THE BABYLONIAN CHRONICLES (5:10) STATE THAT HE BEGAN HIS REIGN AS SOLE REX OVER BABYLON ON THE 1ST DAY OF ELUL

(AUG. 30, 605 BC-GREGORIAN; SEE 2 KG.24:1 & 7; JER.46:2). HE RETURNED AND SUBJUGATED JUDAH. THE BABYLONIANS USED
NISAN-TO-NISAN AND THE ACCESSION METHOD OF RECKONING

(ASSYRIAN & BABYLONIAN CHRONICLES, CHRONICLES 5, PAGE 100).

597 BC

NEBUCHADNEZZAR

10

605 BC

2

JEWISH RECKONING (TOP LINE)

BABYLONIAN RECKONING (BOTTOM LINE)

4th YR JER 25:1

15 16 17 18 19 20 1

606 BC

AC

1

21

19th YR 2 KG 25:8, JER 52:12

"THE CAPTIVITY"

2 KG 24:12, 25:8

3 4 5 6 7 8 9

2 3 7 8

3400 AM

150 YOR

609+ BC NEBUCHADNEZZAR -

ASHUR-UBALLIT

610 BC 600 BC

YEAR ZEDEKIAH VISITED BABYLON. JER 51:59

- EZEKIEL BEGINS TO PROPHESY IN THE SAME

11 18

10th YR OF ZEDEKIAH

JER 32:1

587 BC

593 BC

12 13 14 15 16 17

25th YR OF "THE CAPTIVITY" VISION OF THE TEMPLE & LAND

EZK 40:1

19

18

20

19

21 22 23 24 25

590 BC

3418 AM

168 YOR

70 YRS OF THE "INDIGNATION" - EPOCH OF THE BOILING CALDRON

11 12 13 18 26

18 19 20 21

27 28 29 30

14 15 16 17

22 23 24 25

31 32 33 34

23

26 31

19 20 21 22

27 28 29 30

35 36 37 38 39

570 BC

24 25

32 33

40 41 42

FALL OF CHARCHEMISH

ATTACK FAILS

ASSYRIAN COUNTER

FALL OF HARAN

FALL OF NINEVAH

7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

612 BC

605 BC

609 BC

610 BC

IS SMITTEN. EZK 40:1

14th YR AFTER THE CITY 25th YR OF THE CAPTIVITY =

27 28 29 30 31 32 34

34 35 36 37 38

43 44 45 46

39 40

47 48

561 BC

33

41

49 50 58

35 36 37 38 39 40 41

42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49

51 52 53 54 55 56 57

70 YRS OF NO TEMPLE - "THE DESOLATIONS"

SHEALTIEL

23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

37

561 BC

31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38

MT 1:12

2 KG 24:12-16 RECORDS AT LEAST 10,000 JEWS OF PROMINENCE BEING CARRIED AWAY WITH JECONIAH IN THE 8TH YR OF NEBUCHADNEZZAR. JER 52:28-30 STATES THAT 3,023 WERE CARRIED AWAY IN THAT BABYLONIAN MONARCH'S 7TH YR. THE DIFFERING NUMBERS REVEAL THAT THESE ARE TWO DIFFERENT EVENTS AND SHOULD NOT BE SYNCHRONIZED. THUS, THE "18TH YR" OF JER 52:29 DOES NOT MATCH THE "19TH YR" OF JER 52:12 & 2 KG 25:8. THERE WERE 3 SIGNIFICANT DEPORTATIONS: IN NEBUCHADNEZZAR'S YR

AS CO-REX (DAN 1:1, BC 606), HIS 8TH & 19th YR - WHICH ARE TO BE DISTINGUISHED
FROM THE MINOR ONES JER 52:28-30 LISTS IN THE 7TH, 18TH, AND 23RD YRS. THESE
LATTER WERE LIKELY ADDED AFTER THE FACT TO COMPLETE THE HISTORICAL RECORD.

EVIL MERODACH

JECONIAH FREED BY EVIL MERODACH

IN THE YEAR OF THE 18th JUBILEE

37th YR OF "THE CAPTIVITY" 27th DAY, 12th MO

2 KG 25:27, JER 52:31

26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41

570 BC

(JER 39:3?)

1 2 1 2

562 BC 560 BC

AC AC

43

42 43

3

553 BC

SOLE-REX

1 2 3 4

4 1 2 3 4 5 6

555 BC

5

NERIGLISSAR

193 YOR

560 BC

3450 AM

200 YOR

LABASHI-MARDUK

550 BC

540 BC 530 BC 520 BC 510 BC 500 BC 490 BC 480 BC 470 BC 460 BC 450 BC 440 BC 430 BC

XERXES I

DARIUS" AND THEN ASSUMES THE TITLES "DARIUS" AND "XERXES THE ARTA". THE PERSIAN KINGS PERSONAL NAMES ARE

"ARTAXERXES". FURTHERMORE, XERXES OF THERMOPYLAE IN ONE PROTRACTED SENTENCE CALLS HIMSELF THE "SON OF SCRIPTURE. PERSIAN MONARCHS OFTEN CLAIMED MORE THAN ONE FOR THEMSELVES. CYRUS THE GREAT CALLED HIMSELF OR "KING OF KINGS" (CP. EZR.7:12). ALL OF THESE APPELLATIVES ARE USED WITH REFERENCE TO JEHOVAH GOD IN

THE PREFIX "ARTA" DENOTES "THE GREAT" OR "KING OF". HENCE, "ARTAXERXES" COULD MEAN EITHER "THE GREAT KING"

"XERXES" CONNOTES "SHAH" (I.E., KING), "AHASUERUS" SIGNIFIES "THE MIGHTY KING" (OR "HIGH FATHER") AND

"SULTAN" OR "CAESAR" (FROM WHENCE COMES "KAISER" OR "CZAR"). "DARIUS" MEANS "THE RESTRAINER", "DARIUS", "XERXES" AND "ARTAXERXES" ARE NOT PERSONAL NAMES BUT APPELLATIVES OR TITLES SUCH AS "PHARAOH",

486 BC

268 YOR

268 YOR

11th MO 2nd YR DARIUS, ZECH 1:7,12 HAG 2:10, 15, 18-20 24th DAY 24th DAY 9th MO 2nd YR DARIUS,

516 BC

238 YOR

3488 AM

516 BC

6th YR

EZRA 6:15

516 BC

ZERUBBABEL

42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49

50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57

59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66

50

58

67

536 BC

c.534 BC

51 52 53 54 55 56 57

59 60 61 62 63 64 65

68 69 70

JER 25:9 & 11 cp DAN 9:1-2 & 17-18

EZRA 1; 2 CH 36:21-23 CYRUS DECREE TO REBUILD

39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46

THE TEMPLE

47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54

536 BC

60

68

58 59

66 67

61 62 63 64 65

69 70

527 BC

"INDIGNATION" IS OVER.

520 BC ZECHARIAH

66 67 68 69

519 BC

70

520 BC

"THE FAST" ZECH 7:1 & 5, 8:19 IS 5th YR OF DARIUS

ZECHARIAH - WORK RE-START AT DIRECTION

TEMPLE CONSTRUCTION

55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62

529 BC

IS STOPPED OF HAGGAI &

MT 1:12

520 BC

520 BC

HAGGAI

63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70

519 BC

TO CONTINUE CONSTRUCTION

6 OCT 539 BC-GREGORIAN, DAN 5 BABYLON FALLS

CYRUS THE GREAT DARIUS THE MEDE &

9 10 11 12 13

11 12 13 14 15

PRO-REX WITH NABONIDUS

PRO-REX 8 15

17

539 BC

14

16 SOLE-REX 536 BC

1 2 3 4 } (ISA 44:28, 45:1-4)

BEFORE BIRTH)

(NAMED 200 YRS

SOLE KING OF PERSIA

CYRUS

1 2

540 BC

218 YOR

CAMBYSES

REBUILDING THE TEMPLE

523 BC-GREGORIAN OF CAMBYSES' REIGN

LUNAR ECLIPSE 7th YR

DECREED TO STOP EZRA 4:4-24

529 BC

5 6 7 1 2 3 4 5

?

EZRA 4:6 AHASUERUS?

AC

1 521 BC

1

521 BC

6 7 8MOS

7

2 3 4 5

& DECREES TEMPLE RECORDS SEARCHED

DARIUS ORDERS

2 3 4 5

530 BC 520 BC

ARTAXERXES? EZRA 4:7 PSEUDO-SMERDIS

(GAUMATA) 7 MOS

UNDER ZERUBBABEL IN THE 12th MO.

484 BC Ahauerus deposed wife Vashti - Est 1:1-22

473 BC Purim - Est 9 - Mordecai becomes Prime Minister - Est 10:3

479 BC Esther became Queen - 7th yr of Ahasuerus' reign - Est 2:16
474 BC Haman plots to kill Jews - 12th yr of Ahasuerus' reign - Est 3:7, 9,12

?

NOTE:

70 YRS OF "DESOLATIONS" COMPLETED
DAN 9:12, ZECH 7:5

EZRA 6:15, ZECH 4:9 OF DARIUS, 6th YR

519
514
509
508

LUNAR ECLIPSE 20th YR

IN PARENTHESIS.

500 BC

(XERXES I) DARIUS & "ARTAXERXES" PRO-REX BEGINS OF

(HYSTASPIS)

EZRA 6:14-15

TEMPLE REBUILT - COMPLETED

6 7 8 9 10

516 BC

11 12 13

EZRA 6:14-15

6 7 8 9 10 DARIUS I

DARIUS I

11 12 13

502 BC-GREGORIAN OF DARIUS' REIGN

PRO-REX

"ARTAXERXES" AS BEING

UPON IDENTIFICATION OF CHRONOLOGY BASED

1 2

14 15 16 17 18 19

XERXES I

505 BC

14 15 16 17 18 19

5

20 21

20 21

510 BC

250 YOR

3500 AM

LUNAR ECLIPSE 31st YR OF DARIUS' REIGN
491 BC-GREGORIAN

6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13

22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29

499 BC

22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29

14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

30 31 32 33 34 35 36 1

486 BC

30 31 32 33 34 35 36 1

AC

490 BC

ARTAXERXES DECREE AND THE 483 YEARS UNTO CHRIST JESUS

12

300 YOR

12

21

17

DAN 9:24-27

17

5

29

483 YEARS TO BIRTH OF MESSIAH

2

2

22

SOLE REIGN

BEGIN ARTAXERXES' (XERXES I) 20th YR, NEH 2:1, DARIUS DIES

23 24 25 26 27 28

3 SOLE-REX 6 7 8 9

3 4 5 6 7 8 9

30 31 32

10 11 12 13 14 15 16

10 11 12 13 14 15 16

1 2 PRO-REX 473 BC

PRO-REX BEGINS BETWEEN XERXES
AND ARTAXERXES

480 BC

281 YOR

470 BC

465 BC

4

ARTAXERXES

(LONGIMANUS)

13SOLE-REX

(LONGIMANUS)

ARTAXERXES
465 BC

18 19 20

18 19 20

6 7 8 467 BC

21 1 2 3

1 2 3

10 11 12

21

9AC

5 6 7 8 9 10 11

458 BC

6 7 8

14 15 16 17

9 10 11

18 19 20 454 BC

NEHEMIAH GOES TO JERUSALEM

(DAN 9:24-26). IN ARTAXERXES 20th YR, THE PRINCE THAT DIES IS 69 x 7 = 483 YRS

FROM THIS DECREE TO MESSIAH AS

ALLOWS JEWS TO REBUILD WALL - ARTAXERXES DECREE - NEH 1-6,

460 BC

(NEH 2:1-8).

3550 AM

USSHER-THUCYDIDES "ARTAXERXES"

445 BC

18

18

27

13 14 15 16 17

13 14 15 16 17

FROM THE 20th - 32nd YR NEH 2:1, 5:14, 13:6 OF ARTAXERXES
22 23 24 25 26

19

19

28

20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

MALACHI

c.442 BC

JONES' MODIFIED

20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

NEH 13:6

29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 442 BC

309 YOR

NEHEMIAH GOVERNOR

450 BC

32nd YR

440 BC

c.433 BC MALACHI

28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35

IF XERXES IS

433 BC

28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35

37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44

36

36

45

37 38 39 40 41

1 2

424 BC 423 BC

37 38 39 40

46 47 48 49

41

50

430 BC

XERXES II

of the DIVIDED MONARCHY

1993 FLOYD JONES, Ph.D., Th.D.
FLOYD JONES MINISTRIES, INC.

to Alexander the Great 331 BC

(The 390 years of Ezekiel 4:4-5)

C

420 BC 410 BC 400 BC 390 BC 380 BC 370 BC 360 BC 350 BC 340 BC 330 BC 320 BC

1

358 BC

390 BC

3600 AM

DARIUS II

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14

(NOTHUS)

15 16 17 18

410 BC

ARTAXERXES II

19 1 2 3

404 BC

4 5 6 7

(MNEMON)

8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15

350 YOR

400 BC

16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31

380 BC

32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46

370 BC 360 BC

SELEUCIDES - BABYLON, SYRIA, MEDIA CASSANDER - MACEDONIA, GREECE (ANTIGONUS UNTIL 301 BC) LYSIMACUS - ASIA MINOR, THRACE

PTOLEMUS - EGYPT

ALEXANDER'S FOUR GENERALS:

DAN 8:5, 20-22; DAN 11:1-5 ALEXANDER THE GREAT

17 ARTAXERXES III

2 3 4 5 6 7 8

(OCHUS)

9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

350 BC

400 YOR

3650 AM

ARSES (CODOMANNUS)

PERSIAN EMPIRE FALLS

335 BC

2

337 BC

18 19 20 21 1 1 AC

GREEKS RULE BORN 356 BC

1 2 3 4 5

2 3 4

331 BC

DARIUS III

340 BC 330 BC

0

PTOLEMUS

DAN 11:4

6 7 8 9

323 BC

DEATH OF ALEXANDER

320 BC

975 - 586 BC

KINGS

Chart #5

SCALE

10

Kings of the Divided Monacrchy Chart 5

9th YR OF ZEDEKIAH, EZK 4:6-7; JER 25:3; 39:1

9 MOS BEROSUS

3 MOS URUK KING LIST, OR

NEBUCHADNEZZAR'S 37TH YEAR FIXED AT 568/567 BC BY ASTRONOMICAL DIARY VAT 4956 (BERLIN MUSEUM) WHICH GIVES C.30 VERIFIED OBSERVATIONS OF THE MOON

AND THE 5 THEN KNOWN PLANETS. SUCH COMBINATION OF ASTRAL POSITIONS IS NOT DUPLICATED AGAIN FOR SEVERAL THOUSAND YEARS BEFORE OR AFTER THIS DATE. THE TABLET TWICE STATES THAT THE OBSERVATIONS WERE MADE IN THE 37TH YEAR OF NEBUCHADNEZZAR. A LUNAR ECLIPSE HAS ALSO BEEN REPORTED.

LUNAR ECLIPSE 2ND YEAR OF NABONIDUS, 20 SEPTEMBER, 554 BC GREGORIAN
EYEWITNESS ACCOUNT FROM ONE INTIMATELY CONNECTED TO

NABOPOLASSAR TO THE 9TH YEAR OF NABONIDUS ARE AS ALL THE REIGNS OF THE NEO-BABYLONIAN KINGS FROM

ALL THESE KINGS. SHE COMPLETELY CONFIRMS PTOLEMY'S ROYAL CANON AS TO THEIR LENGTHS OF REIGN.

GIVEN ON THIS ROYAL INSCRIPTION, IT SERVES AS AN Thus when Daniel was Prime Minister and living in Persia, his writings reflected

their Fall dating method whereas Jeremiah, who was living in Jerusalem, used
the Spring method. Therefore, a king's first regnal year using one method could
have been his second year by the other method. However, no error or
contradiction actually existed.

The second major basic principle is understanding the method used in reckoning
regal years. If a king reckoned his reign beginning with New Year's Day after his
accession as the first official year of reign, the year in which he came to the
throne was called his "accession" year; hence, this method is called "accession
year dating" or "postdating". If the king called the year in which he ascended to
the throne his first official year, he was using "non-accession year dating" or
"pre" or "antedating". For example:

As king, each could chose which method he desired. Observe that in non-accession
year dating, the last year of one king was the first official year of his successor.
Hence that year was counted twice. Reigns so reckoned give one year more than
the actual elapsed time. Conversely, Accession reckoning yields official years equal
to actual.

Usually the kings of Judah used accession dating while those of Israel often chose
the non-accession method. Judah used the non-accession method when

Jehoshaphat's son, Jehoram, married Athaliah, the daughter of King Ahab of Israel
and wicked Jezebel. When Athaliah's influence was broken, Judah returned to the
accession method.

When these facts are understood, apparent discrepancies between Israel and Judah
disappear. To illustrate, the accessions of Rehoboam in Judah and Jeroboam in
Israel transpired in the same year. Further, Scripture declares the 18th year of
Jehoshaphat of Judah as the year when Ahaziah of Israel died and Joram took his
place (II Kng.3:1), therefore near identical periods for the two kingdoms are
represented.

(Accession year)
(1st year)

JEROBOAM

BAASHA
ELAH
OMRI
AHAB
AHAZIAH

ISRAEL

NADAB
ASA
JE

ABIJAM

JUDAH

HOSAPHAT

REHOBOAM

TOTAL

OFF. YRS.

17
3
41
18

79

Non-accession Year Dating:

Accession Year Dating:

OFF. YRS. ACT. YRS.

22
2
24
2
12
22
2

86

11
21
1

21
1
23
1

79

(1st year)
(2nd year)

(2nd year)
(3rd year)

The first problem in understanding basic chronology in the Books of Kings and
Chronicles is determining from Scripture the month used by a king and/or nation
in beginning the regnal year. Most ancient nations used either Spring or Fall
months (i.e. around April 1st or October 1st) as beginning the new year.

Although it is disputed, the Holy Scriptures, Sir Isaac Newton, Sir Robert
Anderson, W.J. Beecher, K.F. Keil, E.W. Faulstich and the Jewish Mishna agree
that the kings of Judah used Spring (the month of Nisan [Abib], e.g. Exo.9:31;
12:2; 13:4; Jer.36:22; 39:32; II Ch.29:3,17, cp. 30:1-5,13, 15; I Ch.35:1).
Further, the Hebrew new years were regulated by observable natural phenomena
controlled by the sun such as the stage of crop development and flowering of the
almond tree, and not by merely numbering the lunar months and days.

NISAN

JANUARY JANUARY

(OCTOBER)
TISHRI

(APRIL)
NISAN

JANUARY

NISAN

TISHRI

Nevertheless, as the chart depicts, recognizing the accession year reckoning for
Judah and non-accession year for Israel shows the data to harmonize. By merely
subtracting one year from each of the reigns of the kings of Israel (due to the
overlapping feature of the non-accession method), the paradox is resolved.

Thirdly - the length of time from Solomon's death (with the subsequent division of
the kingdom) to the termination of the kingdom of Judah and its final destruction by
Babylon c.586 BC was found to be 390 years. This was determined by adding the
years of the reign of the kings of Judah from the fall of Babylon to the 6th year of
Hezekiah, when Israel fell to Assyria. This span was 134 yrs. 6 mos. or "in the
135th year". The regnal data of the kings fits this time span perfectly without
reference to any other kingdom thus demonstrating that it would be illogical to
suddenly resort to using Israel as the chronological guide at the point where they
begin to coexist.

The total span of the reigns of Judah's monarchs yields 394 yrs. 6 mos. The only
scriptural co-regency of these kings is that of Jehoshaphat and Jehoram (II
Kng.8:16). Some claim a co-rex (hence an overlap) for Jotham & Uzziah (II
Ch.26:21). However, a careful examination of the wording discloses otherwise i.e.:
Jotham "Begin to reign" versus "was over the kings house, governing the people".
The marked contrast reveals that Jotham held only a pro-rex post at this time as II
Ch.26:23 confirms.

From II Kng.3:1; 8:16; 8:25; and 9:29, the length of this overlapping co-rex was
determined to be 4 yrs. (See chart). Subtracting the 4 year overlap from the total,
leaves 390 yrs. 6 mos. Jehoram, Athaliah and their son Ahaziah all occupied the
throne in 886 BC (See chart 5). Ahaziah claimed the last year of Jehoram as his
first official year but Athaliah seems to have paid homage to "her men" by hallowing
that year as the last of both her husband and son. Thus, although all three reigned
in 886, only two claimed the year for themselves. Hence, the official years become
one more than the actual years such that when this extraneous year is subtracted
from 390 yrs. 6 mos, the result is 389 yrs. 6 mos. or "in the 390th year". This 390
year time span is confirmed by Ezk.4:4-5. It is the math key to the chronology of
the kings of Judah and Israel.

A typical example as to how the accession year method appears on the chart is:

The offset indicates that Asa died during the 41st yr. of his reign. In that year (916
BC) Jehoshaphat ascended to the throne, calling it his "accession year" and credited
that year to his father, Asa. He began his first official year (915 BC) after the first
of the year (1 Nisan). Again note, that the official years and the actual linear years
are the same in this method.

An example of the non-accession year method is:

Here, the offset indicates that Omri died in 919 BC during the 12th yr. of his reign.
Within that year, Ahab ascended to the throne, claiming that year as his first. Note
that the official years now exceed the actual linear years by one as both men
claimed the year 919 BC.

JEHOSAPHAT

OMRI AHAB

5 6 7 2 3 4

1

919 BC

8 9 10 11 12

37

ASA

32 33 34 35 36 38 39 40 41 1 2 3

AC

915 BC

5 6 7 8 9 10 11

4 5 6 7 8 9 10

SHALMANESER II (ASSYRIAN SCHOOL = III) SAYS THAT IN HIS 6TH YR. HE
FOUGHT AGAINST A TWELVE KING ALLIANCE WHICH INCLUDED "A-HA-AB-BU"

(AHAB?) "SIR-I-LA-A-A" (THE ISRAELITE?) AT THE BATTLE OF QARQAR (KARKAR). HE ALSO SAYS THAT AT THE TIME OF HIS INCURSION AGAINST DAMASCUS
(DI-MAS-QI) IN HIS 18TH YR., "IA-U-A" (JEHU?) "MAR HU-UM-RI-I" (SON OF

OMRI?) PAID HIM TRIBUTE. NEITHER INCIDENT IS MENTIONED IN THE BIBLE. FURTHER, JEHU WAS NEITHER OMRI'S SON, HIS KIN, NOR EVEN OF HIS DYNASTY. MOREOVER, JEHU SLEW OMRI'S GRANDSON, JORAM, BRINGING THAT DYNASTY TO
AN END. THUS, BOTH (OR EITHER) IDENTIFICATIONS MAY BE WRONG - NO POINT OF CONTACT MAY EXIST.

AHAZIAH (LITERAL HEBREW = "A SON OF 42 YEARS" i.e.: OF THE
DYNASTY OF OMRI) BEGAN TO REIGN AGE 22. 2 CH 22:2 cp. 2 KG 8:26
(USING JUDAIC METHOD FOR PRO-REX). NOTE THAT THE WORD "WAS" IS

IN ITALICS IN 2 CH 22:2, WHICH MEANS THAT IT IS NOT ACTUALLY THERE IN THE HEBREW. HENCE HE IS THE SON OF OMRI AS MUCH AS
THE SON OF DAVID & IS OMITTED IN MT 1:8.

generation of leaders would be alive 91 yrs (536-445=91) after they returned to Jerusalem.
The youngest would then be 121 (91+30) and others much older. Yet the Scriptures reveal that
life spans were foreshortened to those of today (Psa.90:10) such that for over 700 yrs only one
man was recorded as having lived past age 100 (Jehoiada=130, 2 Chr.24:15). It is
inconceivable that an entire generation suddenly lived so long. Thus the Scriptures imply that
the traditional identification of the Artaxerxes of Nehemiah as being Longimanus may be
erroneous. Secular history also infers this, relating that Xerxes was the last Persian King to
practice the religious tolerance depicted by the Biblical Artaxerxes (Hayes & Hanscom, Ancient
Civilizations, 1968, p. 182). Therefore the possibility arises that the Artaxerxes of Nehemiah
was another King of Persia prior to Longimanus, thereby reducing these men's ages.

Note that "Darius", "Xerxes" and "Artaxerxes" are not names but titles with Persian
Monarchs often claiming more than one. Cyrus called himself "Artaxerxes", and Xerxes of
Thermopylae in one sentence calls himself the "son of Darius" and then assumes the titles
"Darius" and "Xerxes the Arta".

A Possible Solution: These excessive age problems may be resolved by the possibility that
as the Biblical monarch of Persia bearing the title "Artaxerxes" is uniformly mentioned in the
Scriptures following Darius I Hystaspis, he may be "Xerxes I" of secular history rather than
Longimanus (Ezr.6 & 7; Neh.2). Comparing the Persian Kings of Dan.10:1 and 11:1-4 with the
Book of Ezra (4:5-7,24; 6:14-15; 7:1-13,29), the conclusion may be drawn that the fourth King
of Dan.11:2 and the "Artaxerxes" of the Ezra passage are the same, Xerxes I. Josephus
concurs indirectly in that he first identifies the successor to Darius the son of Hystaspis as being
"Xerxes" and then specifies that he was the Persian King with whom Ezra and Nehemiah dealt
(Antiq. XI,3,1 cp. to XI,5,1-6). He later mentions an event that occurred in Xerxes' 28th yr
(Antiq. XI,5,8). Firdusi's "historical" poetic rendering of the legendary national traditions of
Persia recounts that Darius Hystaspis was followed by "an" Artaxerxes. If this is the actual
identification, the ages of Ezra/Nehemiah (& Priests/Levites of Neh.10 & 12) will no longer
appear so great as to apparently necessitate having two different men in successive generations
with the same name.

Longimanus (BC 465-424) has for many yrs been generally acknowledged as the
"Artaxerxes" of Ezra 6:14, Ezra 7, and the Book of Nehemiah. As his 20th yr would fall c.445
BC, the 483 yrs of the Dan.9:24-27 prophecy from BC 445 takes one to c.39 AD; yet other
Biblical data places the Lord Jesus as "about" 30 yrs old (Luk.3:23) in the "15th yr of Tiberius
Caesar" (Luk.3:1, c.26 AD) thus His crucifixion should not have extended past c.33 AD.

Sir Robert Anderson sought to resolve this impasse by making the 483 yrs "prophetic" 360
day yrs thereby reducing the span to 476 solar yrs (365.24 days) bringing the fulfillment date
near 30-33 AD. The main weakness in this is that the material in Daniel must be compared to
Genesis and Revelation in order to so calculate. The Hebrews were given this prophecy so they
could know the time of Messiah, but as Revelation was written AD 96 it would not have been at
their disposal.

Whereas such calculation would have been possible from the data in Genesis 7 and 8, it is
not certain the Jews would have understood to do this. It is conceded that the feast recorded in
Est.1:1-5 lasting 180 days (exactly six months of a 360 day yr) indicates the possibility of a
360-day-yr. It is illogical that Anderson et al. used 365.24 day solar yrs from Creation to the
20th yr of Artaxerxes, but suddenly resorted to 360 day yrs in order to complete the Old
Testament chronology. Notwithstanding, ever since his 1882 publishing, nearly all
conservatives consider that this resolved the matter.

This solution encounters an "unpleasant" quandary for as the Books of Ezra and Nehemiah
span about 103 yrs (536-433=103), the ages of these two men become embarrassingly large.
As leaders, Ezra would have been a minimal of 121 yrs (536-445=91+30) and Nehemiah 133.
Modern scholarship resolved this by contriving a 2nd Ezra and Nehemiah but even this does not
resolve the problem.

A comparison of the Neh.12:1-9 list of Priests and Levites returning with Zerubbabel in the
1st yr of Cyrus as sole Rex of Persia/Babylonia (536 BC) with the Neh.10:1-10 list sealing a
covenant with Nehemiah in the 20th yr of Artaxerxes (BC 445?) reveals that at least 16,
possibly 20, of those returning with Zerubbabel as leaders (30 and older) were still alive in the
20th yr of Artaxerxes. If this Artaxerxes is Longimanus as is generally held, then this

Relying only on Biblical data, beginning with Adam (AM=1) we moved forward establishing
3488 AM (516 BC) as the 6th yr of Darius I Hystaspis. Then we established fixed points of
reference using the 15th yr of Tiberius (Luk.3:1) as c.26 AD when Jesus was baptized being
c.30 (Luk.3:23 or His cleansing of the Temple [Joh.2:13-22 cp. Mal.3:1] at the April Passover of
AD 27 in the 46th yr of Herod's repair on the Temple). Measuring back 30 yrs from 26 AD
establishes the birth of Jesus at 4 BC. As the ministry of Jesus seems to have been c.3 1/2 yrs,
the crucifixion is set at AD 30.

There are four occurrences far exceeding all others in significance in the life of Jesus from
which to begin working backward to fill the gap to 516 BC, i.e. His BC 4 birth, 12th yr at Temple
c.AD 9, crucifixion/resurrection (3 days apart) in AD 30, & Baptism c.26 AD. Starting at each of
these events & backing up 483 yrs (Dan.9:24-27, the decree corresponding to that of the 20th
yr of Artaxerxes [Xerxes I] found in Neh.1 & 2), fixed points may be set & compared with the
time span between the leaders returning with Zerubbabel in BC 536 to the sealing of the
covenant with Nehemiah (20th yr of "Artaxerxes").

Measuring back 483 yrs from Jesus' Baptism in c.26 AD brings us to 457 BC as a possible
date for the 20th yr of Artaxerxes. However, 536-457 yields a 79 yr gap between Neh.10 & 12
to which at least 30 yrs must be added bringing the minimum age of an entire generation of
leaders to 109. This is far too old & thus ruled out.

Using the yr of the crucifixion/resurrection (AD 30), the ages will be 3 yrs greater.
Measuring from AD 9, we obtain BC 474 resulting in the minimum age of that generation as 92.

Lastly, measuring back 483 yrs (solar) from the birth (c.4 BC) takes us to 486 BC as the
20th yr of "Artaxerxes". This gives a 50 yr gap from the 1st yr of Cyrus to Artaxerxes' 20th,
yielding an 80 yr minimum age for that generation (536-486=50+30). This is the only solution
providing reasonable ages for these men as compared to the ages of their predecessors.
Counting back 20 yrs from 486 BC ("1st yr" of "Artaxerxes" - Xerxes) is 505 BC. The remaining
yrs (506-516 BC) are left to Darius as those of his unshared reign.

This suggests that in anticipation of a military undertaking (or possibly illness), Xerxes
became associated on the throne with his father, Darius Hystaspis, near or during the 16th yr of
Darius' sole reign (505 BC). This plausibility is apparent as Persian Law "which alters not"
forbade a king to march with his army until he had named his successor (Herodotus VII, 1).
Very likely at that time the title "King of Babylon" was conferred upon Xerxes (Neh.13:6, cp. 2:1
& 5:14).

Upon installation as viceroy, Xerxes ("Artaxerxes") would have become the Suzerain of the
Jews & the Persian with whom they would have dealt. Thus they would have referenced their
yrs with respect to his date of overlordship rather than his date of sole reign beginning at the
end of Darius' 36th yr (486 BC). Significantly, from the Hebrew viewpoint this results in the
20th yr of "Artaxerxes" (Xerxes) falling in Darius' final yr (chart, lower dashed segment)
indicating that, as co-regent, Xerxes lacked sufficient authority to allow the Jews to rebuild the
city & wall prior to Darius' death. The implication is that though Darius had been persuaded

from Cyrus' edict to allow completion of the Temple (Ezr.5:16-17; 6:3,7,8,12), he succumbed in
part to the negative arguments of the counselors hired by the Jews enemies (Ezr.4:4-24) but
opposed further restoration resulting in Jerusalem's becoming fortified. Accordingly, in the 7th
yr of his co-regency, Darius would not have objected when Xerxes ("Artaxerxes") permitted
Ezra to return to merely "beautify the house of the Lord which is in Jerusalem" (Ezr.7:11-28).
The same yr in which he gained full governmental power, Xerxes ("Artaxerxes") apparently
granted the decree for Nehemiah to return and rebuild the city & its wall.

Thus if "Artaxerxes" is Xerxes, Ptolemy's date of 486 BC as Xerxes' 1st yr of sole reign
holds as does Darius' 36 yr rule. As Ptolemy affirms, Xerxes may have ruled only 21 yrs as
sole Rex, but his total yrs associated on the throne over Israel would have spanned at least 32
yrs & as much as 40 (505-465 BC), & not merely the 21 yrs of his unshared Kingship
(Neh.5:14; 13:6). This scenario enjoys the advantage of resolving all the excessive age
problems.

The Canon of Ptolemy: is merely a list of kings & the number of yrs of their reigns with no
explanatory text. Ptolemy assigns the entire yr in which a preceding king died to the new king.
He made no allowance for reigns of less than a yr, omitting their brief reigns & including their
months in the last yr of the preceding or the 1st yr of the following monarch. Ptolemy made no
indication for co-regencies. The Canon begins with the 1st yr of the Era of Nabonassar, BC 747;
thus the "running" Anno Nabonassar yrs may be converted to BC by subtracting them from 747.
Despite Ptolemy's being a late 2nd century compiler writing nearly a 100 yrs after Christ and
thereby neither eyewitness nor contemporary historian, his "received" chronology is universally
accepted. He is our only authority for no other system bridges the gulf from BC 747 to AD 137.
His Canon is the only thread connecting the reign of the Biblical Darius I Hystaspis with Daniel's
"notable" horned "he-goat" King of Greece who was to defeat the Medo- Persian empire
(Dan.8:5-8,21-22; 11:2-4). It is the lunar eclipse data taken from the Chaldean records
accompanying portions of his king list that has given the Canon its high position of esteem. As
a general guide, his Persian King data holds true as Biblical data demonstrates that if not
exactly correct, it is very nearly so unto the 6th yr of Darius. As to the 36 yr reign of Darius,
Ptolemy is supported by Herodotus (Bk VII,3).

The Best Solution: Yet there is significant historical data opposing and/or modifying the
Canon as to the dates of Artaxerxes Longimanus. Ussher's chronology was added to the margin
of the 1701 edition of the King James Bible by Bishop Lloyd who made a few alterations, the
foremost being that of the 20th yr of Artaxerxes (Neh.2:1). Ussher had set aside Ptolemy's 465
BC date as beginning the reign of Artaxerxes Longimanus in favor of BC 473 (AM 3531) based
on much older data he considered more reliable. This places the 20th yr of Artaxerxes at BC
454 bringing the 483 yrs to a 30 AD fulfillment, leaving Xerxes only a 12 yr rule. However 51
yrs after Ussher published, Lloyd inserted Ptolemy's date in its place. Note that a serious
interval of time is not in question as all agree that Xerxes ascended to the throne of Persia
c.486 BC & his son, Artaxerxes Longimanus, died c.424. The only matter in dispute concerns
the yr in which Artaxerxes I took the throne.

Ussher's rejection of Ptolemy largely depended on the word of Thucydides, the most
accurate and reliable "prince" of ancient historians, who stated that Artaxerxes had just come to
the throne when Themistocles, the fleeing Athenian general, arrived at the Persian Court (Bk I,
Ch.137). Thucydides places the flight of Themistocles between two notable historical events,
the siege of Naxos (Bk I, Ch.98, cp. 137) & Athenian General Cimon's victory over Persia at the
mouth of the river Eurymedon (in Pamphylia of Asia Minor, Bk I, Ch.98-100). Plutarch (AD
45-120) connected the death of Themistocles with Cimon's campaign (Lives, Bk II,31) adding
that Charon of Lampsacus (fl. BC 504) also stated that Artaxerxes was the King receiving the
fleeing General (Lives, Bk II,27). Although believing Xerxes to have been King, Diodorus
Siculus (c.80-20 BC) places Cimon's victory at BC 470 (Bk XI, 60-61) & dates Themistocles
arrival at the Persian court as 471 (Bk XI, 53-57). Cicero gives the yr of the flight as 472 &
Eusebius records it as BC 473. As Thucydides & Charon were Artaxerxes' contemporaries, the
question of whether Themistocles appeared before him rather than Xerxes must favor their
authority. Based on voices 500 yrs more ancient than Ptolemy's, Ussher placed Artaxerxes' 1st
yr as BC 473 in his Annals of the World (pp. 131-134).

Here where Ptolemy had no lunar eclipses upon which to depend, his Canon stands on the
same ground as all other historical sources. Still, Sir Isaac Newton stated that an eclipse took
place a short time after the Battle of Salamis on 2 October (Chron. Amended, p.354) &
Herodotus mentions this same solar eclipse (Histories,9,10). Upholding Ptolemy, Newton uses
this eclipse to set the 1st yr of Xerxes' reign as BC 485 (Anno Nabonassar 263) adding that he
ruled "almost 21 yrs by the consent of all writers". What then is to be done with the impasse
between Ptolemy and Ussher, Thucydides, Charon et al.

Many writers have attested that Xerxes' spirit was crushed following his humiliation at the
hands of the Greeks, resulting in his giving himself over to a life of indolent ease, drink, and the
sensual enjoyment of the harem. The solution proposed is that during this debauched period,
his desire & abilities to govern were diminished to the extent that he placed Artaxerxes
Longimanus on the throne as co-regent some yrs before his death, leaving the affairs of state in
his son's hands. Thus when Themistocles flight ended, he arrived with Artaxerxes Longimanus
having just come to the throne as Thucydides & Charon of Lampsacus reported. Nowhere in his
narrative does Thucydides mention that Xerxes was actually dead at this time (Bk I, 137-138)!
Xerxes was alive, but it was Artaxerxes with whom Themistocles spoke. This differs from
Ussher who interpreted Thucydides et al. as meaning Themistocles arrived at the onset of the
sole reign of Artaxerxes I; hence rejecting Ptolemy's giving 21 yrs for Xerxes' Kingship, he
ceded him only c.12 yrs. The proposed modification completely maintains the integrity of the
Canon (chart, upper segment).

Although the exact date for the arrival is uncertain, it seems it should be placed between
473-470. Thus if one takes BC 473 as the yr in which Xerxes installed Artaxerxes I Longimanus
as pro-rex, the Jews would naturally have referenced dates associated with him from that yr as
it would have been the point from which they began to have dealings with him as sovereign.
This places his 20th yr over the Jews as BC 454 (AM 3550 inclusive, Ussher p. 137) & the 483
yrs of the Daniel 9:25 prophecy brings us to a 30 AD fulfillment. Taking BC 470 as the 1st yr of
Artaxerxes' pro-rule results in BC 451 as his 20th & AD 33 as the 483rd yr. Not only do both
fall

during the accepted life time of Christ, the solution is superior to Anderson's expediency as the
chronology does not suddenly resort to inserting 360 day yrs instead of the normal yr which
was used from Creation. The fact that not one historical event is recorded in connection with the
reign of Xerxes after his 11th yr should be viewed as a most significant circumstance in support
of this solution. To the possible objection that Artaxerxes was too young at this time to assume
the government, the Hebrew Text unmistakably places him of swufficient age in his 7th yr to
already be father of more than one son (Ezr.7:23). This explanation merely adds the
pro-regency aspect between Xerxes & Longimanus which does not violate Ptolemy for, as
stated, he makes no mention of pro or co-reigns. Indeed, this resolution has been confirmed by
an article in the Journal of Sacred Literature & Biblical Record (Series IV, London: Williams &
Norgate Pub., April, 1863, p. 156) which reports that an Egyptian hieroglyphic inscription has
been found stating that Artaxerxes was associated with his father on the throne in the 12th yr
of Xerxes' reign.

The Ezra-Nehemiah Chronology: The Nehemiah 10 & 12 lists of Priests & Levites dilemma
is solved by Sir Isaac Newton's chronology for Ezra-Nehemiah. Newton recognized that the two
lists contained many of the same names. He also noted that the Levites Jeshua, Kadmiel, and
Hodaviah (or Judah, Ezr.3:9 or Hodevah, Neh.7:43) were among the chief fathers returning
with Zerubbabel in BC 536 (Ezr.2:40) and that they assisted in laying the Temple foundation
(Ezr.3:9), in the reading of the law (Neh.8:7, along with Sherebiah, cp. Neh.12:8 and possibly
Binnui, Neh.10:9; 12:8, cp. 8:7; 9:5), and in sealing the covenant (Neh.9:5; 10:9-10). Taking
into account these overlaps between the Books of Ezra and Nehemiah (originally only one
book), Newton constructed a chronology which recognized Neh.7:4 as leaving off in the 20th yr
of Artaxerxes with the insertion of data previously recorded in the 2nd chapter of Ezra which
applied to Zerubbabel's return in the 1st yr of Cyrus. Nearly all scholars regard the repeated
insertion to end where "all Israel in their cities" of Ezra 2:70 corresponds to "all Israel, dwelt in
their cities" in Nehemiah 7:73, but Newton connected the "7th month" portion of Neh.7:73 to
the "7th month" of Neh.8:2. Finding no break in the narrative, he placed everything from
Neh.7:5 to 12:9 together as occurring in the 1st yr of Cyrus with Neh.7:4 resuming with the
wall of Jerusalem having just been completed (Neh.6:15) to Neh.12:27 at which point the wall
was being dedicated. Newton's chronology is: (1) Ezr.1:1-11 followed by (2) Ezr.2:1-6 being
overlapped by Neh.7:5-73a with (3) Neh.7:73b -12:26 following as an inserted unit after which
comes (4) the remainder of the Book of Ezra (i.e., 3:8-10:44), then (5) Neh.1:1 to 7:4 with the
story of the wall of Jerusalem's completion resuming at (6) its dedication (Neh.12:27) and on to
13:31.

Thus his solution is that just as the Nehemiah 12 register represents men who returned in
the 1st yr of Cyrus (536 BC), the making and sealing of the covenant with Nehemiah (the
Tirshatha) also transpired in that same yr and not in the 20th yr of Artaxerxes. Consequently,
no 91 yr gap existed between the 2 chapters, resolving the predicament. He viewed Zerubbabel
as Governor and Nehemiah as his Tirshatha or 2nd in command; thus for Newton every
Scripture with that title signified Nehemiah (Ezr.2:2,63; Neh.7:65,70; 8:9; 10:1, Chron.
Amended, p.368). After Zerubbabel's death, Nehemiah was promoted and referred to by the
title "Governor", a position which he held for 12+ yrs (Neh.5:14).

Most modern scholars insist that Neh.7:73 b is a connecting statement belonging with
chapter 8. For them, the "7th month" statements are connected as Newton believed, but are
separated in context and time from Neh.7:5-73 . However, this is not based upon the
contextual flow of the narrative, but on the fragile deduction that the events in the 8th chapter
of Nehemiah must transpire near the 20th yr of Artaxerxes Longimanus.

Newton's removal of the 91 yr gap between chs. 10 & 12 of Nehemiah solves the ridiculous
anomaly whereby an entire generation was suddenly living beyond 120 yrs. Not since the time
of Moses, almost 1,100 yrs hence, had a generation lived so long. Whereas this results in
Nehemiah's having lived at least 124 yrs by the 32nd yr of Longimanus (536-442=94+30), and
Ezra a minimum of 112 (536-454=82+30) at the dedication of the walls, these are not
excessive to the impossible. The 1991 Guiness Book of Records lists Carey White as having
died at age 115 & a Japanese woman dying in 1986 at 120 yrs old. Walter Williams, the last
survivor of the American Civil War, died in 1959 at 117 (George Gipe, Last Time When, p.272).
The Jan. 1973 National Geographic states that nearly 5,000 individuals in the Caucasus
Mountains were documented as being 100 yrs with some 110-141 along with equal & even
greater claims for Indians in the mountains of Ecuador (pp.93-119).

The traditional chronology of the Books of Ezra and Nehemiah in use today is not tenable.
This is the great unresolved flaw in Anderson's solution as well as all others who have not
resolved the registers of Priests and Levites in Nehemiah 10 & 12. If "Artaxerxes" is indeed
Longimanus, Newton is correct as chronology must then place Nehemiah 10 & 12 in the 1st yr
of Cyrus so as to keep the two registers from being separated by 91 yrs. Newton's system,
used in concert with the pro-regency dates for Artaxerxes Longimanus as required by
Thucydides, Charon of Lampsacus, Ussher, et al. is for this author a plausible refinement and
may well give the actual history; indeed, it is considered the most likely and best solution to the
problem. In view of all the foregoing regarding the Daniel 9 prophecy, the people of Jesus' day
should have been aware of its fulfillment & thus known "the time of Messiah's visitation".

Mordecai was carried away to Babylon in 597 BC with King Jeconiah (Jehoiachin) at the same
time Ezekiel was carried away. (Est 2:6; cp. 2 Kg 24:6)

If, as commonly reported, the Ahasuerus of Est 1:1 is Xerxes, then the 3rd yr of his reign
would be 484 BC. Mordecai, if carried away as a new baby, would be 597-484 = 113 yrs old
at the beginning of the story. As the story of Esther covers c.12 yrs, Mordecai would be at
least 125 when he became Prime Minister of Persia.

Though this is possible, it is unlikely as only one man's age was reported as that great since
the period of the Judges (a span of over 700 yrs). Also, as Esther was his first cousin
(Benjaminites, Est 2:5-7), she would tend to be far too old to fit the story.

If, however, Ahasuerus is Darius I, his 3rd yr is 519 BC. Mordecai would be 597-519 = 78 yrs
old (minimum age). In all probability, he is the same Mordecai of Ezra 2:2 & Neh 7:7.

The only way that Ahasuerus can be Xerxes or Artaxerxes Longimanus as some believe is for
the "who" of Est 2:6 to be Mordecai's great grand-father Kish in 2:5 & that Kish was the one
carried away with Jeconiah. However, the Hebrew construction states that Mordecai was
carried away with Jeconiah.

NOT

( ) LAST KING OF JUDAH TO SIT ON THE SOVEREIGN

THRONE OF DAVID. ALL HIS OFFSPRING WERE VASSALS TO FOREIGN KINGS.

PHAROAH NECO MADE HIM KING - REIGNED 11 YRS. BURNED THE SCROLL OF GOD'S WORD FROM JEREMIAH. REBELLED AGAINST NEBU- CHADNEZZAR, DIED WITH THE BURIAL OF AN ASS WHEN CAPTURED BY BABYLON. 2 CH 36; JER 36:30, 22;19

SELECTED & ANNOINTED BY GOD TO RULE & SUCCEED JOSIAH AT AGE 8 (2 CH 36:9) BUT DID NOT ACTUALLY SIT ON THE THRONE UNTIL AGE
18 (2 KG 24:6-8). THOUGH HE HAD CHILDREN - SEED - HE WAS COUNTED CHILDLESS AS NONE OF HIS OFFSPRING SAT ON THE

THRONE OF DAVID WHICH IS A SOVEREIGN THRONE. JER 22:24-30; cp 36:30, NOT A VASSAL THRONE. REIGNED 3 MOS. 10 DAYS - 2CH 36:9 - CARRIED TO BABYLON 597 BC. HE WAS WELL TREATED IN THE 37th YR OF HIS CAPTIVITY & EXILE BY EVIL MURODACH. JER 37:1, 52:31-34, 2 KG 25:27-30.

EZRA RETURNS TO BEAUTIFY TEMPLE IN ARTAXERXES

7th YR, 5th MO, 1st DAY EZRA 7:7-9, 27
TRIP TOOK 4 MOS. i.e. ABOUT

THE SAME DISTANCE AS THE WISE MEN MT 2:1-12

(6 MOS 15 DAYS)

(45 DAYS)
SOGDIANUS,