

## **British-Israel Theory – 10 Tribes Are Lost? Floyd Nolen Jones, Th.D., Ph.D.**

Note: the Lord Jesus was a Jew, the Lion of the Tribe of Judah (Heb. 7:14, cp. Gen. 49:8-12)

10 Tribes are lost? Actually, due to several southward migrations from the northern 10 tribes Judah became a kingdom that was comprised of people from all the 12 Tribes (2 Chr. 11:12-17, 15:9, c.974 BC; 2 Chr. 15:9-10, 941 BC; 2 Chr. 31:6, 726 BC; 30:11 & 18, 726 BC and 2 Chr. 34:9, 623 BC,

Also note: Jehoshaphat and Ahaz (kings of Judah) are said to also have been kings of Israel (2 Chr. 21:2 & 28:19)

The Birthright was Joseph's (1 Chr. 5:1-2; Psa. 78:67, i.e., the double portion, Deut. 21:15-17, and he was the family priest till the Law was given) but **NOT** the blessing or the genealogy (i.e., the lineage of the seed of the woman as well as the Satan brusier, Gen. 3:15 and earth blesser, Gen. 12:3 – i.e., via Abe's seed) which belonged to Judah! See Gen. 25:34, 27:27, 33, & **36**, 28:4 cp. 22:18 (seed), 28:14; Gal. 3:16-17 (and note: the word "seed" is also **singular** = Messiah in Gen. 15:18, 17:8 & 19, 21:12, 22:18, 24:7 and the last two seeds in 26:4 & 28:14).

New Testament verses that expose the British-Israel claim as false – note: "Israel":

Mat. 15:24, 10:5-6 & 23; Luke 1:16-17 (cp. Mal. 3:1 & 4), Luke 1:80, Luke 2:25 (cp. vs. 38); John 1:47, 3:1 cp. vs. 10; Acts 2:14 cp. vs. 22, Acts 4:8 & 27 – 26:7 & James 1:1 – **12 Tribes!**

Paul – 2 Cor. 11:22 (cp. Phil. 3:5) is said to be an Israelite, yet of the Tribe of Benjamin.

British does not mean "men of the covenant". It is from the Latin Britto, and "ish" is an English suffix used to form an adjective (as: childish and foolish)

Saxon is Latin for "Isaac's sons. In Hebrew, Isaac means "laughter" (Gen. 17:17, 18:1-15, 21:6)

Britain had no king from 1649-1659, also different families ruled. How does this fit?

jerUSAlem – offered as a major proof, give me a break. Indeed, Ephraim and Judah are both referred to as "Israel" in Scripture (2 Chr. 25:7, 31:6 – cp. vs. 8)

Stone of Scone = coronation stone – is a cut & quarried calcareous sandstone from **Scotland**. It is not Jacob's pillow, which was uncut and from Israel (Gen.28:11 & 18, cp. Exo. 20:25).

Supposedly **Zedekiah's daughters** (Jer. 41:10, 43:6) fled to England and thus extended the Davidic monarchy, but in Israel the throne descended through sons – never thru daughters!

Let them explain the "blessings" when the USA and England have *never* kept the Sabbath.

What about the "if" condition regarding the blessings (1 Ki. 2:4, 6:12; 2 Chr. 6:16, 7:17-22)

When this foolish theory was originally put forth, it seemingly had some validity for at that time "the sun never set on the British Empire" and England was far greater than the USA. But how is it that Manasseh (supposedly the USA), who did not get the blessing from Jacob, has since become far greater than Ephraim (supposedly Britain) who did receive the blessing (Gen. 48:14)?

British Israel founder, Richard Brothers (c.1790 AD), died in an insane asylum.

Finally, over the years, the proponents of the British-Israel theory have pieced together a thesis that can easily entrap the unwary. But their proof-literature generally depends on folklore, legends, quasi-historical genealogies and dubious etymologies. None of these sources actually demonstrate an Israelite origin for the peoples of northwestern Europe. Rarely, if ever, are the disciplines of archeology, sociology, anthropology, linguistics or historiography applied to the British-Israel theory. Anglo-Israelism operates outside the sciences. Even the principles of sound biblical exegesis are seldom used. Whole passages of Scripture that undermine the entire system are generally ignored. And why this unscientific approach? This approach must be taken because to do otherwise will destroy the British-Israel theory at its very foundation.